

The regular meeting of Council was called to order by President Bucy at 7:00 p.m. in the Municipal Center, 925 Old Clairton Road. Following the Pledge of Allegiance, Council Members Ielase, Reynolds, Ruscitto, Sockman, Steffey, Vice President Montgomery and President Bucy answered to roll call. Mayor Cmar, Finance Officer Drager, Solicitor Gabriel, Borough Engineer Glistler, Public Works Director Volpe and Borough Manager Stinner were also present. Lt. King and Mr. Minstermen was absent.

BOROUGH RESIDENT/TAXPAYER COMMENTS

Stephanie Serapiglia, 1110 Wakefield, I really wanted to address the Code Enforcement Officer, which I see isn't here, and it's very disappointing that he isn't since this is the forum for the community to talk about things like this. I live across the street from abandoned high school. The property that I have to look at every day is a complete eye sore. The health dept came last year and tagged it for ticks. My dog got Lyme disease and passed away. There is a broken fence and high grass. They did send someone to cut the grass today, which I don't know if it's because I asked to be put on the list to talk today and they were tipped off. But that is definitely a band aid on a gunshot wound. I cannot even express in words how disappointed I am in that this is what I'm forced to live with every day. I want to thank Nicole Ruscitto and Melissa Steffey for putting up with me constantly bothering them and for them acknowledging that this is a problem. The Borough has an obligation to the residents to make sure they are living in an environment that is healthy and that space is not healthy. If you go over the behind the fence there are all kinds of dead animals and trash. This is what I look at outside my door every day. I pay my taxes like everyone else and it's not fair to me or my neighbors. I sit out there sometimes with my dog and I see young families taking their dog back there to the field and I have to stop them and tell them my dog got Lyme's disease because it's full of ticks. There's nobody taking care of this. Then at night the parties. The rap music that is blaring from the lower parking lot and the speeding cars. I don't mind the kids laughing, at least they are having a good time, but it is inappropriate to be on an abandoned school property having a party, but God forbid if one of them gets hurt. We have called the Police and they are ware that it's happening, but it doesn't end. I want some kind of commitment from Borough that they are going to do something. The school district is not helping us and it's their obligation. If I didn't cut my grass, had a fence that is falling down, if I had dead animals on my property, if I had trash on my property, if I had rap music blaring at 2:00 a.m., if I had teenagers smoking weed and drinking on my property, that would be a problem and the Borough would do something. Just because they are the school district, they do not get a pass. I want somebody to help us.

Mrs. Bucy stated she will speak to the Code Enforcement Officer and get an answer for you.

Mrs. Steffey stated she has numerous emails to school board members and also the Director of Maintenance to establish a timeline on this. The only thing I can say about the fence is the Director of Maintenance stated the supplies are back up and they can't get it in, which I understand. As for the grass and other things, it's unacceptable so we need to talk to Mr. Reis so we can make some huge strides here.

Solicitor Gabriel stated he did get some inquiries also and he indicated Jim Seskey is the appropriate person to talk to in the Borough.

Bill Jones, Ridge Road, as a resident of our community, I feel that it is important to connect with as many outlets as possible to stay current on events that are happening in and around our community. Recently, residents (including myself) have discovered that Councilman Keith Reynolds is running a social media page titled "Jefferson Hills" that resembles what appears to be an official Facebook page for our Borough. However, it has been brought to the attention of myself and many other residents that this page is not an official page and is in fact run by Councilman Keith Reynolds to put forth his own biased opinions and agendas.

While I realize that the Council cannot impose rules on what individuals do in their private lives, I would expect that Council would set forth some set of standards or rules that members should not pose as the official spokesperson for the Borough. I would implore Council to demand that either Councilman Reynolds remove his fake Facebook page, or post as himself with his opinions so that the public can be made aware of where he stands on issues. There is something morally wrong with a Council member pretending that they represent an entire community of individuals.

Mrs. Bucy stated, if you are looking for Jefferson Hills Facebook page it is under the Borough of Jefferson Hills.

Former Councilmen, Tracy Khalil, 5035 Walton Road, Members of Council and the Mayor. I am submitting a letter tonight to respond to the Mayor's comments in the May 5th Council meeting concerning the initial meeting attended by representatives of the three independent fire stations as well as a few Council's members and the Mayor. The Mayor's characterization that I forced the stations into consolidation based on that meeting is false. It is true I asked towards the end of the meeting each attendee based on the Sohyda report if they were in favor or not in favor of the consolidation. It is also true that the first member who was a member of Gill Hall that I asked the question to concerning the consolidation did ask about the trucks. Neither within the report nor myself nor any other member attending did we have any sense for the specifics about distributions of the various assets such as the trucks or relocating them. It is also true that I did state to that individual if you can't give me a yes or no answer that I took it as a no at that time. The Mayor didn't mention that the next member of Gill Hall I asked the question to was Adam Galis was in favor of the consolidation. Adam left Gill Hall during the process and joined the new organization JHFR which he is still an active member and serves a vital role within JHFR. My reason for asking that question was to get a sense for where we stood with the report and the consolidation at that time. What the Mayor also failed to speak to was after I went around the room, I asked any of the members who stated no that they bring to the next meeting a proposal of their ideas as well as financial information that we could then discuss. At the next meeting not one individual had any proposal, nor any financial concerns given.

Sadly, the Mayor has again focused on the past rather than the future in her comments at the last council meeting on May 5th. The idea behind the study came at a JFR meeting years ago which was voted on unanimously by all three departments to have a study performed by an independent state recognized professional to review our current fire service protection. From the report the departments could review the report see their deficiencies and address them ultimately enhancing fire protection for community and the safety of the volunteers. The key recommendation

by the expert (Nick Sohyda) was a consolidation of our fire service. During my time as a Council member my focus was always to look to be better in the future involving every aspect of Borough business. Again, with respect to the professional report it was to identify our short comings and to provide better fire protection for the future to the residents of Jefferson Hills.

I will also admit I could not have done the job nearly as well in heading the task force as John Thatcher did. John deserves the lion's share of credit for conducting meetings and working with the three independent fire companies to move the consolidation forward.

In my 12 years on Council, I personally witnessed the dysfunction and mistrust within the three companies. But I also witnessed within my last year on Council the development of trust, mutual respect and cooperation of 885 and Floreffe working towards consolidating as a new fire department JHFR. As a member of the new organization JHFR I see the continued benefits of consolidation and am proud to be a small part of the organization. Floreffe and 885 have developed and built a wonderful relationship that before didn't exist. To reinforce that point were the letters submitted to Council which were read aloud a few meetings ago from the members of Floreffe praising the benefits of the consolidation.

I would ask Council members to remain focused on the future and the benefits of the consolidation. I can say you should certainly keep in mind the history of each department and all that have served in the past. But in my opinion your primary goal is to provide the best quality product to the residents of Jefferson Hills and the consolidation provides just that for all the reasons that have been discussed and exhibited for several years. In addition, a fully consolidated fire service will end the frustration felt by yourselves, the fire service volunteers and the community with respect to this matter. It will allow you to then focus on the many very important Borough issues before you all. How would you explain to any resident that had a fire or emergency that they didn't get the very best product because of a focus on the past vs. the community wide benefits of an improved fire department which the consolidation provides?

AGENDA ITEMS:

Mr. Sockman moved to approve the monthly bills, seconded by Mrs. Steffey and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ielase moved to approve the monthly payroll, seconded by Mr. Montgomery and carried unanimously.

Mr. Sockman moved to approve minutes of agenda meeting April 7, 2021, seconded by Mr. Montgomery and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ielase moved to approve minutes of regular meeting April 12, 2021, seconded by Mrs. Steffey and carried unanimously.

Mr. Montgomery moved to adopt Resolution No. 17-2021 consenting to a request for Penn Vest funding to complete Phase II of the Clairton Municipal Authority (CMA) Expansion project in the amount of \$23,000,000.00 for a term of twenty (20) years at one percent (1%) interest to cover the cost of Phase II of the State mandated expansion project, seconded by Mr. Sockman.

The vote was not unanimous, and a roll call vote was taken. Per the Borough Code, which requires that the minutes show the actual votes by name, below are the votes of each Council Member:

President Bucy	YES
Vice President Montgomery	YES
Vickie Ielase	YES
Mr. Reynolds	NO
Mrs. Ruscitto	NO
Mr. Sockman	YES
Mrs. Steffey	YES

Kerry Fraas gave a little history of these two motions. Back in 2012 Jefferson Hills along with several other Municipalities entered into a wastewater treatment agreement with Clairton Municipal Authority (CMA) to expand the Clairton sewage plant. It was inadequate and there were environmental issues, and the state was involved. There was a state mandate to expand, update and improve it. So, all the Municipalities, and by that, I mean Jefferson Hills, South Park, Peters Creek Sanitary Authority, which includes, Peters Twp., Union Twp., Finleyville, Nottingham Twp., and the City of Clairton. All these communities being served entered into a \$40,000,000.00 expansion project.

During the course of this project, it became apparent in later years that the expansion project was going to greatly exceed this original \$40,000,000.00 costs. So, all the communities entered into a lawsuit against CMA because of these extreme cost's overruns. This was a pretty big case that went through a lot of pretrial discovery and a lot of expert testimony and preliminary injunction hearings and the litigation was finally resolved in 2020.

The courts and most of the parties entered into a settlement that was reduced to a court order. As part of the court order, it was agreed that there would be phase two of this wastewater treatment expansion. Phase two was going to cost \$23,000,000.00 but it needed to be done. They are under state mandate to do it and the court agreed. It was deemed that there should be oversight and review of this phase two project.

Item No. 9 is for Penn Vest Funding. Penn Vest is a state agency that provides low interest financing to critical Municipal improvement projects, just like Phase two of the sewer treatment water expansion project.

The Penn Vest funding was applied for and approved earlier this year and it provides for a 1% interest 20-year loan for the \$23,000,000.00 construction project. It's very favorable terms. As part of the court's settlement of the prior case, one of the provisions was that all the communities being served by the treatment sewer plant would consent to any further expenditures or indebtedness by the CMA. So, all the communities have consented to this \$23,000,000.00 Penn Vest funding and it's scheduled to move forward.

Again, that is all the upstream communities and the City of Clairton and would like this to move forward. Everybody recognizes that there are environmental issues and inadequacies in our sewer system, and these have to be addressed. We are the last of the Municipalities to bring this

to their Council, everyone else has approved this. This is simply consent for Penn Vest funding to complete this phase two of the CMA expansion project.

Related to that is Item No. 10, to approve a work authorization for Gateway Engineers, Inc. for the Clairton Municipal Authority as a Project Manager oversight. Again, one of the main concerns of the lawsuit was the cost overruns.

It was agreed and the courts agreed that there would have to be some oversight on any new expansion project or capital expenditures. The court appointed a committee, which includes CMA, representatives from Peters Creek Sanitary Authority, South Park and Jefferson to be on an oversight committee. This oversight committee meets regularly and has to see all correspondences and all bills.

In addition, the court specifically appointed a Project Manager. Specifically appointed, Richard Minsterman from Gateway Engineers, to be the Project Manager to provide oversight to this project. Also, the courts specifically appointed every local Municipal Engineer from these areas to be involved in this oversight committee, but Rick Minsterman from Gateway Engineers, was specifically appointed as the watchdog oversight head.

The agreement goes into great detail of all his oversight duties and all the reporting that has to be done by Rick Minsterman, the Project Manager, and to the committee. That's what item No. 10 is, to comply with the court order and agreement that settled the lawsuit that the Borough and others had joined in against CMA. The whole bottom line and idea is to provide oversight of Phase two and the necessary \$23,000,000.00 upgrade of the sewer treatment facility. It's all to provide for that. So, we entered into an agreement for that, and in addition to that, it's also ordered by the court. The court has retained ultimate supervision over this matter in case there are any disputes.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated, as you have all heard CMA was under the microscope years ago for dumping frack water and excessive sewage into our water ways. Every Borough and Municipality that I have spoken with that deals with the CMA have had pretty much the same sort of response to what the CMA is doing. I'm curious to know why Mr. Minsterman is not here today, since he could answer a lot of my questions like he did last week.

Mrs. Bucy stated he is not here today due to having another Council meeting.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated in my perspective, as a resident that lives here and pays taxes, why \$23,000,000.00 is needed to be borrowed for phase two after \$40,000,000.00 was borrowed for phase one? Now we are asking for an additional \$23,000,000.00 to advance this project for the CMA. Who's going to pay for this in the end? Mr. Glistner am I correct in saying if this \$23,000,000.00 needs to be borrowed, it's around a 30% hike in rates for our residents because we have chosen to stand by and take the side of the CMA. Also, Char-Houston has gone through the same thing that we went through and they as residents filed a suit against CMA as residents because they do their homework and understand that this isn't right. I also read in the paper that Monessen just today is challenging the CMA as well. As far as item 10 is concerned, we are now investing \$10,000.00 to babysit the CMA.

Mr. Glistler stated, I don't know if he said those words exactly, but in a nutshell, yes.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated us and the other neighboring communities are paying your tax dollars to babysit this company. I find it absurd once again that we are even involved in this, especially to such polluters. All you have to do is google CMA on the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette and you can read all the newspaper articles and all the turmoil that has followed this company throughout decades in southwestern Pennsylvania. Rick has already identified that \$1,000,000.00 was wasted in no bid contracts through this company and now we are just going to keep spending money to affiliate these people.

Mr. Reynolds asked Mr. Fraas, if it was a court order that Minsterman and Gateway was to oversee the project, why isn't this coming out of the funding that's being raised here instead of our taxpayers' pockets.

Mr. Fraas stated the court order states that all the Municipalities will share in that costs. This is Jefferson's share.

Mr. Reynolds stated out of the \$23,000,000.00 there was no money built in for the oversight?

Mr. Fraas stated, that's strictly for construction.

Mr. Reynolds stated wouldn't engineering be considered construction costs? Why isn't that built into this. Like Minsterman stated, this isn't the last check we will be writing for this.

Mr. Fraas stated Penn Vest has their own separate rules and it doesn't provide for oversight costs.

Mr. Drager stated, is there any verbiage in the lawsuit that states who will subsidize the cost for the oversight committee?

Mr. Fraas stated it provides that all these costs will be shared by the Municipalities.

Mr. Reynolds asked how we make sure the other communities are paying their fair share and paying equally?

Mrs. Ruscitto asked how we explain to the residents that shortly from now there is going to be 30% rate hike on top of what they are already paying which is exurbanite?

Mr. Fraas stated another thing this provides for is that the engineering committee and the oversight committee look at, be informed of, and approve of any necessary rate hikes if that proves to be the case.

Mrs. Bucy asked if he could repeat that again.

Mr. Fraas stated the Engineers and Project Managers shall also be kept fully informed of any capital improvements or material changes proposed to be made to CMA sewage plant and its

facilities during completion and any proposed initial rate increases or debt services increase to be in effect following the completion of phase two.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated so when they come back to us and say guess what residents, you are going to be paying 25-30% more and there's nothing, like I said before and why I voted no to this months ago, there's nothing we can do to change that.

Mr. Reynolds stated, correct me if I'm wrong, but with the terminology kept inform of any possibility, they are not asking we don't have a say.

Mr. Fraas stated, it also states in the event that any of the Municipalities, engineers or project managers, disagree with any issues, change orders, etc., or proposed rate increases or increases in debt services, the matter shall be submitted in writing to the committee for review and the decision after discussing the same with all members will be consistent with this agreement. What this agreement provides for, if there is a disagreement, it would ultimately go to the court for a decision. So ultimately, there is a mechanism in place to oversee and influence any proposed rate increase of debt service increase.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated Mr. Drager, what I'm seeing here is, and I'm not a financial person you are, there are \$23,000,000.00 more from Penn Vest that has to be accounted for. That is just money and to me, in some way, shape or form that money has to be paid and that usually happens in a rate increase for residents.

Mr. Drager stated we are currently paying on Phase one.

Mrs. Bucy stated, first of all, I think everyone on Council did their due diligence with looking at this information, we spent months on this and in July of 2020, we met in South Park with all the upstream communities and one of the main issues at that point in time was the issue of the taps. It was at that meeting that this plan was devised to have an oversight committee and also Rick Minsterman to be in charge of this. I saw the engineering notes from the other day, and I personally want to see somebody in this position monitoring them. I am not a civil engineer. It was due to the engineers, lead by Rick Minsterman, that we had an issue of, and it was called, New Flow Through Membrane Technology, and due to the fact that they brought some competitors in, it was a savings of \$1,000,000.00 on a new flow pattern. If he wasn't there, I don't think that would have occurred.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated I understand that, but let's look at the grand scheme of things when we are looking at \$65,000,000.00. I was also at the meetings at South Park as well listening to all the back and forth that went on there and the engineering committee and I meet with Mr. Sockman and Mr. Montgomery, and Rick when we have them meetings. I also want to remind people we are also joining forces with a company that decided to not give us taps for our new houses just because they felt like it.

Mrs. Bucy stated that was one of the issues that came up and was addressed by that.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated there are more than just on issue here.

Mrs. Bucy stated we have all looked at this information repeatedly. One of the other options if we weren't going to enforce CMA, the City of Clairton wanted to take control of that, and we had no seat on that committee.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated we do not have a seat on that committee either, we have no say so at all on what happens. Especially when, I guarantee you in a few months from now when they tell you are rates are going up 30%.

Mrs. Bucy stated another reason was if the City of Clairton was not able to restore CMA, they may sell it to a private company. Private companies work for a profit and rate payers would be adding to this profit.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated I also discussed that with Mr. Minsterman, and he agreed with me that Penn American has never been unworthy of trust in all the neighboring Boroughs that they have served.

Mrs. Bucy stated the rest of the upstream communities agreed that if it was a private company; private companies run their companies for profit.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated I'm certainly not trying to put any kind of spin on this, I'm just trying to give information. This is just facts from a sheet of paper.

Mr. Reynolds stated that Clairton never said that they were going to sell it. They sat here and said it was their intent to take it over and run it. We were the one that fostered this thought process that they were trying to take it over just to sell it.

Mr. Fraas stated Mr. Reynolds I found the reference in the court order and it says, "The services of the Project Manager shall be billed monthly directly to the upstream communities in accordance with their existing debt services percentages set forth in the agreement.

Mr. Reynolds asked how many upstream communities?

Mr. Fraas stated you have Jefferson Hills, South Park, Peters Twp, which is part of Peters Creek Sanitary Authority that includes, Union Twp, Finleyville, and Nottingham Twp, and the City of Clairton that want to see this built.

Mr. Reynolds asked if they are all getting a bill for \$10,000.00?

Mr. Fraas stated he doesn't know the percentage that they are being billed.

Mr. Reynolds stated our residents do not get treated unfairly and should not pay more than an equal part of the other communities.

Mr. Fraas stated it's a prorated percentage and everyone is sharing in the costs.

Mr. Montgomery stated my understanding is we pay based on the amount of sewage we send into the plant. I believe our percentage is 30%. I think Peters San is close to that percentage and South Park is about 12%. They will get a bill based on what they used.

Mr. Glister stated he just looked at the 2012 agreement that Mr. Fraas referenced, and this is the percentage that each community pays. The City of Clairton is responsible for 39%, Jefferson Hills is 30.29%, South Park is 4.71% and Peters Sanitary Authority is 26%. The invoicing from Gateway for this special oversight, and I just saw the first one we got for the past six months or so, showed the time they spent on it and the percentages broken down. Our share so far as been about \$3,200.00. We don't know what the final cost is going to be. The oversight can vary depending on if there are a lot of obstacles or if everything is going smoothly.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated, I would like to conclude with the fact that we are paying money to babysit a company. It's not Gateway that I have the issue with, it's CMA.

Mrs. Bucy feels we better be supervising it because of the amount of money it is. As I pointed out, on the first phase, they added \$1,000,000.00 because they brought in competitive bids.

Mr. Reynolds asked Mr. Glister if he heard him correctly that South Park was four point something and we are 30 point something?

Mr. Glister stated correct. That's based on sewage flow. The way they come up for these numbers was through a series of billing meters. The flow from South Park and Peters Creek Sanitary runs through the Peters Creek interceptor. There are meters at the borders so we can determine which community contributes to the flows. It's partially based on water usage in houses, but it's also based on infiltration as well. We have talked about this in the past on how we have to locate the greatest source of infiltration and fix it, because it's just ground water getting into the line. The more we eliminate that, the less we pay. The way we are paying are based on the actual flow going into the treatment plan and not water usage.

Mr. Reynolds stated the question he wants to bring up here, if we are paying 30% of the charges here and South Park is paying 4%, do we have 30% of representation on the committee and South Park 4%? How many votes do we get?

Mr. Fraas stated we each get one vote.

Mr. Reynolds stated so if we see something in the oversight and want to shut it down, we only have one vote when we have 30% and South Park has one vote when they have 4%. If we are paying the lion's share, we should have the lion's share of voting power. South Park only has a fraction of what we do but have the same voting rights and I don't understand how we don't have more.

Mr. Fraas stated it's a court order that was negotiated after extension litigation.

Mrs. Ielase moved to approve Work Authorization dated April 28, 2021 from Gateway Engineers, Inc. for the Clairton Municipal Authority Phase 2 Project Manager oversight in the amount not to exceed \$10,000.00, seconded by Mrs. Steffey. The vote was not unanimous, and

a roll call vote was taken. Per the Borough Code, which requires that the minutes show the actual votes by name, below are the votes of each Council Member:

President Bucy	YES
Vice President Montgomery	YES
Vickie Ielase	YES
Mr. Reynolds	NO
Mrs. Ruscitto	YES
Mr. Sockman	YES
Mrs. Steffey	YES

Mrs. Steffey moved to appoint David Oster to join the Planning Commission Steering Committee, seconded by Mr. Sockman and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ruscitto moved to appoint Tim Schumann to join the Planning Commission Steering Committee, seconded by Mr. Montgomery and carried unanimously.

Mr. Reynolds moved to appoint Gloria Gulla to join the Planning Commission Steering Committee, seconded by Mrs. Ruscitto and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Steffey moved to open Request For Proposal (RFP) for Municipal Planning Consultant, seconded by Mrs. Ielase and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ruscitto asked if Mr. Stinner was going to contact everyone from the list the DECD gave us?

Mr. Stinner stated he will send a letter tomorrow.

Mrs. Bucy stated to clarify, the Department of Economic and Community Development gave us a list of possible Planning Consultants and that was what Mrs. Ruscitto was referring to.

Mrs. Ielase moved to adopt Resolution No. 18-2021 authorizing the submission of a grant application for active transportation planning through the Walkworks program provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Health and Pennsylvania Downtown Center, seconded by Mrs. Steffey and carried unanimously.

Mr. Sockman moved to authorize the execution of a Memorandum of Understanding with the Allegheny Intermediate Unit – Mon Valley School to retain the services of law enforcement personnel of the Borough of Jefferson Hills for use of the Mon Valley School, seconded by Mrs. Ielase and carried unanimously.

Mr. Sockman moved to adopt Resolution No. 08-2021 granting final approval for a subdivision known as S-1-2021, Deer Hollow Plan, Phase 1, seconded by Mr. Montgomery. The vote was not unanimous, and a roll call vote was taken. Per the Borough Code, which requires that the minutes show the actual votes by name, below are the votes of each Council Member:

President Bucy	YES
----------------	-----

Vice President Montgomery	YES
Vickie Ielase	YES
Mr. Reynolds	NO
Mrs. Ruscitto	NO
Mr. Sockman	YES
Mrs. Steffey	YES

Mr. Reynolds asked if all the signatures have been taken care of?

Mrs. Bucy stated she has had three.

Solicitor Gabriel stated all the easement agreements have been signed. The last communications I have received was from the Benack's and they want to make sure there is going to be engineer oversight during the project and I have spoken with Mr. Glister about that today. Generally, they are in favor of it. All three families that were represented by Ms. Malcolm have signed.

Mr. Reynolds asked about the resident that was just here talking about it.

Solicitor Gabriel stated they are not represented by Ms. Malcolm and can't comment about them. I know Mr. Glister spoke with them.

Mr. Glister stated they did not have to sign an agreement. We brought them up to speed and had another conference call with them today. They supposedly hired an engineer and was supposed to be on the call, but they weren't on the call. We went over the history of the plan and the length of the approval process.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated it still sounds like they still have questions, and we didn't get those finalized and Mr. Housley isn't here this evening to answer them.

Mrs. Bucy stated there are people here from Deer Hollow that can speak on this issue.

Mr. Fred Jugg, legal counsel for developer, I also have Woody Welsh, the Developer, and Donald Housley Jr. is also here to answer questions. I worked with Ms. Malcolm to obtain the signed agreements. Our understanding is that this matter was first brought up to review on February 26th and the Borough Engineers has submitted a letter recognizing his consent for approval in this process and that all of the concerns have been satisfied and we would ask that Borough Council will move forward since the time period to act on this proposal is this evening and to follow the recommendation of the Borough Engineer and grant approval. If there are engineering questions, Mr. Housley Jr. is here, and we would be happy to address that.

Mrs. Ruscitto asked Mr. Glister, wasn't it implied that when this was finalized that we could draft something that would be more protective to the residents?

Mr. Glister stated we talked about if the approval was granted, when we went forward with the Developer's Agreement, which is pretty standard with every subdivision, that we would take this time to add language to it to strengthen a few areas to help address some of the concerns we

had from the residents in regards maintenance of erosion and sedimentation devices, construction debris and dust and things of that nature.

Mr. Jugg stated my client is willing to confirm with you to sign a developer's agreement in accordance with the requirements of the MPC and are willing to agree to sign that Developer's Agreement as a condition to approval this evening.

Mrs. Ruscitto asked if we know the details of what that would be? Mr. Glister stated no, we have not sat down to discuss that yet.

Mrs. Ruscitto states that's why I don't feel comfortable going forward with this until we know what we are going to do to protect the residents. I would prefer to table.

Mr. Montgomery stated you can't table unless they grant us an extension of the 90-day period.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated we have a new couple that has concerns that didn't get them finalized.

Mr. Montgomery stated he understands that, but we are at the end of the grace period and can't table it.

Mrs. Ruscitto asked what does this means for Mr. Housley?

Mr. Montgomery explained it can be denied, he can grant an extension, we could approve it as is, or it can be deemed approved if we take no action.

Mrs. Steffey stated they have no easements on the property. I understand and respect their concerns of the development, but there are no easements with their property because this development does not affect their property at all.

Mrs. Bucy asked Mr. Housley, one of the concerns of the new resident is that other people were told they were going to have trees or fencing would you be in agreement with these people?

Mr. Housley stated no, there is no reason for it. The other people that are getting trees planted or fencing alluded to them are getting grading done on their property and are basically getting flatter back yards and the developer is either giving them money or paying for the trees to be planted on their property. That's part of the agreement that is being referred too. These people are below the project and we are not grading anywhere near them, I believe it's over 25 feet.

Mr. Glister confirmed it was 23 feet.

Mr. Housley stated there is also the conservation easement with the swell everyone has talked about. There are plantings being planted in relation to that, but as far as their property, no. From what my understanding is, the people that sold the property didn't disclose that there is a development going in. It's not the developer's responsibility to watch who is doing the new purchases of the homes. We originally tried to talk to them about how things were, but it's not

something that the developer's going to sign an agreement for. It would be the same as if one of you asked us to put trees on your property. It's not part of this project.

Solicitor Gabriel stated there were no attorneys on the call today, but my understanding from speaking with Mr. Glister, they are not actually asking for plantings on their property, they just want to conserve some of the trees that are there already. Maybe that will be accomplished a little more easily without costs.

Mr. Housley stated the trees that will be removed are only to do the grading of the project and to put in the swell. In that conservation easement there was an exhibit that was provided to the Borough that shows the additional planting of trees and shrubs in that area of the swell. That's all in the general vicinity behind their house.

Mrs. Steffey stated, so their concerns with trees that were on or off their property?

Mr. Housley stated yes, off their property. They don't want anyone cutting the trees on that whole parcel.

Solicitor Gabriel stated they are concerned about trees buffering their property from the development that are not on their property.

Mr. Reynolds stated, I don't believe Mrs. Steffey should vote on this because of who she works for, it's a conflict of interest.

Solicitor Gabriel stated if it involves a development that relates to her employer then yes there would be a conflict, but since it does not involve her employer, it will be her decision if she feels she can be objective in respect to other developers.

Mrs. Steffey stated it does not involve her employer.

Mr. Reynolds stated, what works for one developer can also work for the next developer in getting certain items approved here and could have an effect on Costa in the future and she should not be allowed to vote as a conflict of interest.

Mr. Montgomery moved to adopt Resolution No. 20-2021 granting preliminary and final approval for a subdivision known as S-2-2021, Krizbai Plan of Lots, seconded by Mr. Sockman and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ielase moved to approve Application for Payment No. 7 and Final from Swede Construction Corporation in the amount of \$16,391.97 for the work completed on the Beedle Park Concession Stand (17-GEDF-09) Project subject to the receipt of the maintenance bond for 50% of the final contract amount for a duration of two years, seconded by Mrs. Steffey and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Steffey moved to approve Invoice #INV3076 from DuraEdge Tools & Equipment, LLC in the amount of \$10,075.00 for the infield improvement work completed at Tepe Park, seconded by Mrs. Ielase and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Steffey moved to approve purchase of playground equipment from Jeffrey Associates, Option 1 for \$4,584.00 (Costar's contract 14-027), seconded by Mrs. Ielase and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ielase moved to approve purchase of a Toro 8000 Series Direct Collect Z 48" mower for \$12,952.09 (State Contract No. 4400020108, seconded by Mr. Sockman and carried unanimously.

Mr. Montgomery moved to approve a Heavy Hauling Permit Agreement for gas well plugging work along High Road for EQT Production, seconded by Mr. Sockman and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated she would like to see the details of how they are going to access the road. I was originally told they would only be on High Road but then according to my neighbor, they want to cut up through her yard and I would like to know for her sake.

Mr. Glister stated the agreement we are voting on tonight is specifically to allow EQT to take their wheeled drill rig, concrete truck and a concrete pumping truck onto Ridge Road. Anything outside the right-a-way for Ridge Road that is between EQT and the property owner. They will have the final say to negotiate with EQT as to if they will allow access through their property and what they are going to do to restore it. As we stated in our engineer committee meeting, it would be a good idea for the property owner to take pictures of their property and document existing conditions and so forth.

Mrs. Ruscitto asked if we know who the property owner is where the well is located?

Mr. Glister stated he does not know who property owner is. I did hear back from EQT and they anticipate the work to take anywhere from two to four weeks, depending on the progress.

Mrs. Bucy asked Mr. Glister if this is the same agreement that we entered into before.

Mr. Glister stated yes, we usually see one or two of these a year. It's not always the same thing. This is the first time I saw one for plugging. A lot of the times it's for when they are pigging the line, which is when they run a device through the line that helps clean out the inside walls of the pipe to make sure there is no corrosion or anything. It's a pretty standard agreement.

When we first started doing these agreements in about 2015, we spent a lot of time working on the agreement to spell out what they need to do prior to starting the work. On top of executing this agreement, they must submit video of the road to document the condition of it. There is one storm cross pipe that they are going to be moving over so we will take a look at that as well. They have to post insurance and they are also posting a bond for \$1,600.00, which is based off of standard PennDOT language, that allows you to require to bond a road for up to \$12,500.00 per mile. In this case, they are only about .13 miles which is why we ended up with \$1,600.00. When the work is done, we will go out there and will walk through it to make sure there are no issues. If there is damage, it is all spelled out in the agreement on how they need to pipe, repair subbase, what kind of asphalt, stone, etc. It's mostly standard.

Mr. Montgomery moved to approve the granting of a permanent easement and temporary construction easement to the Pleasant Hills Authority for the purposes of installing a sewage billing meter on property owned by the Borough of Jefferson Hills for the consideration of \$1.00, seconded by Mrs. Steffey and carried unanimously.

Mrs. Ielase moved to recommend to SHACOG the award of a bid to Jet Jack, Inc. for the Sanitary Sewer Lining Project – Year 15 – Contract B (MH-MH Lining) in the amount of \$288,515.00 with the Borough’s share being \$120,810.00, seconded by Mr. Montgomery and carried unanimously.

Mr. Sockman moved to adopt Resolution 21-2021 accepting bid from Perryopolis Auto Auction, 2016 Ford Interceptor from Chicago Motors Inc., for \$8,160.00; 2011 F550 dump truck from Hollidaysburg Auto Plaza for \$37,300.00, seconded by Mrs. Ruscitto and carried unanimously.

Mr. Drager clarified the numbers and a revote with the correct numbers took place. The numbers prior were gross not net.

Mr. Reynolds moved to reinstate Gill Hall as an independent fire hall, station 179 so they can actively support our neighbors via mutual aid seconded by Mrs. Ruscitto. The vote was not unanimous, and a roll call vote was taken. Per the Borough Code, which requires that the minutes show the actual votes by name, below are the votes of each Council Member:

President Bucy	NO
Vice President Montgomery	NO
Vickie Ielase	NO
Mr. Reynolds	YES
Mrs. Ruscitto	YES
Mr. Sockman	NO
Mrs. Steffey	NO

Mrs. Ruscitto asked if we are going to cancel their workers comp insurance and Gill Hall fire hall is going to purchase their own insurance, and we are not as a Borough allowing them on Jefferson Hills run cards, but other Boroughs want them on their run cards?

Mr. Reynolds stated other Boroughs have been in contact with them. The reason I want to make this motion here is, if we ask other communities to be good neighbors and they come and support our community with fire protection through mutual aid that even if we want to snub our nose at Gill Hall volunteer fire company, we should still allow them to be good neighbors to our neighboring communities and support them.

Mrs. Bucy stated we need to clean up our own house first before we worry about mutual aid.

Mr. Reynolds stated, I don’t want to put Mr. Doel on the spot, but I’m looking at a letter that we as the Borough replied back to the PA Office of Open Records and it says, “It has not been a past or current practice of the Borough to maintain records of certification as the Borough does

not have operational control of the Fire Companies, their personnel or training requirements. It should also be noted that the Borough does not have a complete list of home addresses, certifications, or certification dates for any fire hall in the Borough.” I read that first sentence and where it says, and Dave, you have said many times that we do not want operational control of these fire depts, and all we have done for the past years has shown operational control. We are the ones sitting here saying you are not allowed to operate therefore we are flexing our muscle with the operational control. We have criticized Gill Hall saying we have to take care of this in house. We sat here time after time and Council has criticized Gill Hall saying you don’t have enough trained firemen and it says right here clear on our response on Borough letterhead, “does not have operational control of the Fire Companies, their personnel or training requirements”, So with this letter that we responded back to the PA Office of Open Records, this is an absolute double standard. We should never have been flexing our muscle as a Council on this and saying Gill Hall did not have enough trained firemen because we are telling the state of Pennsylvania, that we have no control of the training requirements.

Mr. Stinner stated, as the open records officer it pertains to what we keep on hand as the Borough administrative office. What people are trying to do via Council has nothing to do with my records or the records that are inside the Borough administration offices. As a general rule, we haven’t kept that because the Borough administration does not involve itself in fire affairs in an operational level. The only responsibility we have is the fiduciary one and the risk management part. Those two components are the only two components that the Borough administration has to deal with and that’s the issue here, that individuals are under the impression in general that Borough administration has records for all these independent companies. These are independent companies that we are insuring and if they are not meeting any kind of criteria, then we have to make a move on that. But as far as us keeping records as to personnel and home addresses things of that nature, we do not maintain those for that reason for operational control. I’m speaking strictly on behalf of Borough administration and my office.

Mr. Reynolds stated so it says we have no operational control of the fire companies, personnel, or training requirements.

Mr. Stinner stated we can determine whether or not we are going to insure those people based on their transparency?

Mr. Reynolds asked if we have a listing of training for all the other fire departments?

Mr. Stinner stated no, we requested it, but was not given any in return.

Mr. Reynolds stated so we criticize Gill Hall throughout the process, and they were the only one that supplied them.

Mr. Stinner stated, once again Mr. Reynolds, I did not insult Gill Hall.

Solicitor Gabriel stated that’s also the opinion of Mr. Mitchell rendered in the past in writing that the Borough is not going to be employer and not exercise operational control because that would put us in a position of becoming an employer and having to pay fire dept.

Mr. Reynolds stated then I don't see how we have a right to sit here and look at Gill Hall being that it states we do not have operational control, how can we sit here and say they can't operate?

Mrs. Ruscitto stated, I don't feel that we can. We can certainly decide not to give them money, but I don't see how we as a Borough can say, Gill Hall you cannot be a volunteer fire company. How is it, if we don't have operational control, take them off the run cards?

Solicitor Gabriel stated there is current litigation obviously between JHFR and Gill Hall Volunteer Fire Company and even though the Borough is not a part of that, I was asked today to participate at the status conference. They want to try to get a resolution to all the global issues that could touch upon the issues that you are discussing.

Mr. Reynolds stated all that legal battle is technically just for a truck, nothing else. The fact that the Judge is trying to step in here because he's been watching the news and would like to see us resolve some things, that's just him trying to inject some common sense trying to get things straighten out. He sees we have not been able to do it, but there's nothing saying they are going to resolve it anyways.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated for me as an outsider looking in, we don't have the right to tell somebody that they can't be open. If they want to sell soup or chili or whatever to raise money to pay for their insurance, fix their trucks or pay for their gear, I don't understand how we control that.

Mrs. Bucy stated, I think that came from the insurance provider.

Solicitor Gabriel stated that's correct, with all the decertification votes that the Council made, this would allow the operational component to be addressed. For the period of time in which Gill Hall is decertified, they would not be named as insured on the Borough insurance policy, therefore he brought this forward as a voting item for today.

Mr. Reynolds stated again, this is just the Duncan Group speaking on behalf of what is best for their insurance policy, not what's best for the residents, not what's best for the surrounding residents and whether we want to be a good neighbor and so forth. The Duncan Insurance is saying if you have an opportunity to eliminate them, so they don't have the ability to file a case against them, they should be eliminated. This is the Duncan group trying to maintain a higher level of profitability.

Mr. Stinner stated that is not true, they are trying to ascertain the risk of the Borough and the Borough as a whole. The risk is we have unlicensed fire fighters fighting fires in our community.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated the power point that was presented by the fire liaison in 2020 specifically state that they wanted a 2020 baseline roster, with addresses, status and current certifications, so for us to state we don't require that, isn't true.

Mrs. Steffey stated it was not required it was asked for in order for them to participate in the probation period. That's the only reason we received Gill Hall's roster and other items that were asked for.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated if it's not required, why did we request it from them?

Mrs. Steffey stated so they can work on getting on probation and get them opened up. You are both saying you don't understand how we can oversee a fire station. Mr. Solicitor, you have been involved in all the voting that concerned Gill Hall, if at any point in time that we were out of line, would you not have spoken up?

Solicitor Gabriel stated those are policy decisions that every Council has to make. There are obviously legal obligations to every vote. There are liability issues, financial considerations, insurance and risk calculations that are made. Ultimately, it becomes the policy decision of the Borough Council how they wish to proceed.

Mrs. Steffey moved to authorize Borough Engineer to investigate criteria, site design and location of an ancillary fire station in the Gill Hall area in coordination with Jefferson Hills Fire Rescue for such a station and to explore whether there is enough manpower to man the station, seconded by Mr. Sockman. The vote was not unanimous, and a roll call vote was taken. Per the Borough Code, which requires that the minutes show the actual votes by name, below are the votes of each Council Member:

President Bucy	YES
Vice President Montgomery	YES
Vickie Ielase	YES
Mr. Reynolds	NO
Mrs. Ruscitto	NO
Mr. Sockman	YES
Mrs. Steffey	YES

Mr. Reynolds stated if you have been receiving adequate fire protection then this can be seen as wasteful spending. And as per this study there was only six fire personnel to man the station?

Mr. Bucy, I can't say that for sure or not.

Mr. Sockman stated I would like to also point out that a hundred plus houses are going into that development and how do you know if there isn't going to be more residents living there that will want to be a part of the fire dept.

Mr. Reynolds stated as I look at this, I see it as wasteful spending since we don't know if there are going to enough people to man that station. We still have a viable fire company with 20 volunteers to man the existing station. We will have to spend money to equip that station and remodel the building.

Mrs. Steffey moved to authorize cancellation of Gill Hall Volunteer Fire Dept’s workman’s compensation insurance effective May 25, 2021, which follows correspondence issued by the Borough of Jefferson Hills to Chief Calvin Felix on March 31, 2021 and April 9, 2021, seconded by Mrs. Ielase. The vote was not unanimous, and a roll call vote was taken. Per the Borough Code, which requires that the minutes show the actual votes by name, below are the votes of each Council Member:

President Bucy	YES
Vice President Montgomery	YES
Vickie Ielase	YES
Mr. Reynolds	NO
Mrs. Ruscitto	NO
Mr. Sockman	YES
Mrs. Steffey	YES

Mr. Reynolds asked if there are any costs savings to the residents?

Mrs. Bucy stated no, because this is based on the population, however, if there is a claim there is a cost savings.

Mr. Reynolds stated so there is zero costs savings to the residents and again, this just protects the profitability of the insurance company because there will not be a claim from that area. But we are taking individuals such as some of their members that has a scholarship that is based off this worker’s comp and we are eliminating that.

Mrs. Bucy stated I would like to address that specifically since that has come up numerous times. I specifically spoke with where they are taking classes and he stated to me that they have been covered under Blaine Hill Volunteer Fire Company’s insurance for months so there is no jeopardy of those people losing their scholarship.

Mr. Reynolds stated we should not be pushing our responsibility onto Blaine Hill. He only went over to Blaine Hill after we threatened to pull it.

Mrs. Ielase moved to eliminate Gill Hall Volunteer’s Fire Company as “permissive use” drivers under the Borough’s auto policies. As GHVFC has been temporarily decertified by Council, GHVFC is not a named insured under the Borough’s insurance policies, and GHVFC drivers shall not have permission to operate; 885 VFC and Floreffe VFC drivers shall continue to be permitted to use the Borough’s fire vehicles and to provide fire protection, seconded by Mrs. Steffey. The vote was not unanimous, and a roll call vote was taken. Per the Borough Code, which requires that the minutes show the actual votes by name, below are the votes of each Council Member:

President Bucy	YES
Vice President Montgomery	YES
Vickie Ielase	YES
Mr. Reynolds	NO
Mrs. Ruscitto	NO

Mr. Sockman
Mrs. Steffey

YES
YES

30. Reports

Fire Chief

Chief Withers stated I'm here to address the Memorial Day. I got a letter from the Borough that we are going to have the parade as usual, but I keep getting conflicting remarks that nothing is going to take place at the fire hall because the Ladies Auxiliary voted to disband. The fire hall is still planning to host the refreshments and so forth afterwards and have the parade from our station to Lobb's as usual.

8:47 Mrs. Ielase left

Mayor Cmar stated due to COVID restrictions the high school band will not be performing so we will not have a parade so the ceremony will be held at Lobb's Cemetery beginning at 10:30 and any veteran that would like to be recognized, please contact the Borough at trevis@jeffersonhills.net. Please consider attending this ceremony to recognize individuals who fought for our freedom. Let's show our veterans our gratitude for their sacrifices and commitment to this great nation. Check the Borough's website for more details.

Chief Withers stated so you are cutting us out entirely down at our station?

Mayor Cmar stated she is having the ceremony at Lobb's like I do every year.

Chief Withers stated for 59 years we did a ceremony at our station then everyone went to Lobb's for that ceremony.

Mayor Cmar stated the Ladies Auxiliary had the refreshments and we no longer have the Ladies Auxiliary.

Chief Withers stated we are still having the refreshments; he can't help if they voted to disband. We are still having the memorial at our station as usual. If the Borough doesn't want us to do that, that's fine you tell me.

Mayor Cmar stated we don't have a parade.

Bucy stated if the mayor would like to have a ceremony at 10:30 at Lobb's, would it be possible for you to have your ceremony at 10:00 and have some speakers recognizing the people the proceed to Lobb's field.

Chief Withers stated that's not a problem.

Mayor we don't have a band, so we are not having a parade.

Mrs. Bucy stated can the focus be on veterans?

8:51 Ielase returned.

Mr. Reynolds stated if you don't have a band and not having a parade, then who are you buying the hot dogs for?

Mrs. Steffey stated why would you not want to be involved? There are veterans who attend this. I think we are losing sight of the whole situation. You can have refreshments for veterans. Mayor, why wouldn't you want to be involved in their ceremony then go over with the fire trucks to Lobb's? I don't understand why this can't be brought together.

Mayor Cmar stated we are not having the high school band that's why I said we are not having a parade.

Mrs. Ruscitto state the band has been coming there for years and they can't this year. I understand there are changes, but I don't see why we can't work together on some of the changes being made, but it's not like anyone is being cut out.

Mrs. Bucy stated I personally think you should have your ceremony at 10:00 a.m. at your fire dept as usual, then proceed to Lobb's, then they can come back to Floreffé to have a hot dog and a drink. I'm sure it's not going to be an issue about the costs. I'm sure the money will be donated to memorize the people that have passed and are here that has served our country. I think we should service and honor our veterans.

EMS

Not present

Engineer

Nothing further

Consulting Engineer

Not present

Finance Officer/Treasurer

Nothing further

Public Works Director

Nothing further

Lt. King

Not present

Mayor

Nothing further

Manager

Nothing further

Solicitor

Council did not meet in executive session to discuss personnel & litigation matters. However, Council will meet after this meeting and will not return or vote on any matters.

31 General Business

Mrs. Steffey stated:

Rec Board Community Day is June 12th, vender applications are due by May 15th. Rec Board will be reaching out to the schools for volunteers to help out.

On June 26th Floreffe Fire Hall in coordination with JHFR, they are having a corn hole tournament fundraiser at 3:00. For bragging rights for regular teams and also teams from different fire stations throughout the surrounding area. Bragging rights consists of a pretty cool belt at the end of that. I believe there is a sign up already going around and you can see it on JHFR page and Jefferson Rec page.

This meeting there were a lot of opinions going around, a lot of hearsay and double standards in my opinion. When we are discussing things and voting things, I would like to see this Council, and I understand if you want to give you opinion on the way you are voting, but I don't feel it is fair to give nonfactual information or opinions on things we don't have any control over. Some examples are CMA and Deer Hollow plan. Just to correct some of the things that were discussed in the Deer Hollow Plan, my company, Costa Realty, is not involved in that whatsoever. Ryan Homes is the builder of that plan and that's been established for many months. Mr. Housley is only the surveyor, he's not the developer, he's not the owner, he's not the builder, so it has no ties back to my real estate company. These are all things that should be factually checked before they are said.

Same as the rates for CMA. Could the rates rise? Yes, they could. Could they stay the same? Yes, they could. I don't think we have the right as Council people to say I guarantee that the rates are going to raise. That's scares residents. Giving them our opinion when we are voting, yes, I understand. Giving them factual information is what we are here for and we are also here to be stewards of making very difficult decisions with taxpayer money. But to sit here and say we know for sure in the future that things are going to happen is very tactless and shouldn't be done. I think as Council people, we need to watch what we say in future meetings.

When I was speaking about a double standard, it was brought to my attention that tonight we were talking about the number of volunteers that each station has on their roster. In the last meeting that we were at, Councilmen Reynolds went down JHFR or Floreffe's roster that he highly doubt was going to get on a fire truck and come fight a fire. The same can be said to Gill Hall and

I think we need to recognize that, treat things fairly and not have a double standard and let these fire depts. figure it out for themselves.

Mrs. Ielase stated:

Library is open for in person services. Summer reading program kicks off with registration on June 1st. Summer reading program is held in person on Thursdays, June 14th to July 16th, from preschool to grade four.

Saturday's fish day was cold. Not as cold as it has been in the past. We had six from the old crew come back and we had over 30 kids altogether, so it was nice to have a new crop of children. We had an 11-year-old boy and 4-year-old catch a 14" fish and received \$15.00 gift cards to Pro Shop and Dairy Queen. Our kayak and 50/50 of \$68.00 was won by Lori Bartrug who donated back her half of the 50/50 to go back into next year's fish day.

Mr. Stinner, the NIMS class that we took last year online, has everyone completed that course. Mr. Stinner stated I don't have that answer but will inquire about it with the County as to whether or not we are in compliance.

Mr. Montgomery stated:

Thanked Vickie for all her hard work on fish day, she's been doing it for 16 years and it's always a success and well appreciated by the community.

Mr. Sockman stated:

Thanked Vickie for an excellent job well organized. Also thanked Floreffe and 885 for having their equipment there and being available should something happened.

Mrs. Ruscitto stated:

As a Council person, people in this Borough voted me to be on this Council and I think they trust in that person to give them information. I have a fiduciary to this Borough and to the people that live here. Just because I don't always agree with people who sit up here, I will always listen to you. If I have something to say at a Council meeting it's usually because I have something that I feel is important to say.

Thank you, Vickie, for doing what you did this weekend. I think the children enjoyed it.

Mr. Reynolds stated:

I would like to second what Melissa said. One thing I think we should have done was let these fire depts figure this out on their own. I apologize to the residents of Jefferson Hills in the Gill Hall area that we just eliminated their insurance for a fire dept that has been out there volunteering for 79 years.

Mrs. Bucy stated:

Thank you, Vickie, I didn't get a chance to get down there, but I know it's a wonderful event.

I would like to encourage all people to come down to both Floreffe fire hall on May 31st at 10:00 and 10:30 at Lobb's field. We feel that we owe a great deal of honor to our veterans.

Mr. Reynolds stated I don't think it's not enough time for Mr. Withers to have any type of service there. The Mayor has been holding this event for a lot of years and I don't think it's fair to spot in on her time.

Everyone is invited to this event, please feel to come.

Reminded Council we are having executive session at 9:15

ADJOURNMENT:

President Bucy adjourned the meeting at 9:00 p.m. on motion by Mrs. Ielase, seconded by Mrs. Ruscitto and carried unanimously.

John P. Stinner
Secretary/Borough Manager